arXiv:1410.8184v2 [hep-th] 1 Feb 2015

Permutation Symmetry of the Scattering Equations

C.S. Lam

Department of Physics, McGill University

Montreal, Q.C., Canada H3A 2T8

and

Department of Physics and Astronomy,

University of British Columbia,

Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z1

Email: Lam@physics.mcgill.ca

Abstract

Closed formulas for tree amplitudes of n-particle scatterings of gluon, graviton, and massless scalar particles have been proposed by Cachazo, He, and Yuan. It depends on $(n-3)$ quantities σ_α which satisfy a set of coupled *scattering equations*, with momentum dot products as input coefficients. These equations are known to have $(n-3)!$ solutions, hence each σ_α is believed to satisfy a single polynomial equation of degree $(n-3)!$. In this article, we derive the transformation properties of σ_α under momentum permutation, and verify them with known solutions at low n, and with exact solutions at any n for special momentum configurations. For momentum configurations not invariant under a certain momentum permutation, new solutions can be obtained for the permuted configuration from these symmetry relations. These symmetry relations for σ_α lead to symmetry relations for the $(n-3)!+1$ coefficients of the single-variable polynomials, whose correctness are checked with the known cases at low n. The extent to which the coefficient symmetry relations can determine the coefficients is discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The number of Feynman tree diagrams for n-gluon scattering grows rapidly with n. There are 4 diagrams for $n = 4$, 25 diagrams for $n = 5$, and 220 diagrams for $n = 6$. By the time one gets to $n = 12$, the number exceeds five billion. It is therefore highly remarkable that if all the gluon helicities are the same, or only one of them is different, the resulting tree amplitude sums up to be zero whatever n is. If all but two are the same, then the result of the sum consists of only one term, given by the Parke-Taylor formula [1]. Recently, Cachazo, He, and Yuan (CHY) [2] were able to generalize this formula to any helicity configuration, any spacetime dimension D, not only for gluon scattering, but also to graviton and massless scalar scattering amplitudes. Later on similar expressions for the scattering of massive scalar particles were also obtained [3] .

The formula consists of a sum of $(n-3)!$ terms, each of which is associated with a solution of the *scattering equations*

$$\sum_{b\neq a}^{n} \frac{k_a\cdot k_b}{\sigma_a-\sigma_b} = 0 \quad (a = 1, 2, \cdots, n) \tag{1}$$

in the unknown variables σ_a, where k_a are the incoming momenta. Though the number $(n-3)!$ is still very large for large n, nevertheless it is very much smaller than the number of Feynman diagrams. For example, these numbers are 1, 2, 6 respectively for $n = 4, 5, 6$, to be compared with the numbers 4, 25, 220 of Feynman diagrams. For $n = 12$, the number $9! = 362,880$ is much smaller than 5 billion.

The scattering equations possess a Möbius invariance, so three of the n variables σ_α can be fixed. By multiplying through with the product of denominators, the scattering equations can be turned into $n-3$ coupled homogeneous polynomial equations of degree $n-3$ each. These equations are known to have $(n-3)!$ solutions [2], hence the equation to determine a single variable σ_α is expected to be a polynomial equation of degree $(n-3)!$. It is generally not easy to obtain even the single-variable polynomials, not to speak of their solutions. Though there is no problem to get $n = 4$ and $n = 5$, special technique is required for $n \geq 6$ [4, 5]. However, there are special momentum configurations for which solutions can be obtained for any n [5, 6].

Analytic solution for σ_α is impossible to obtain except for low n, and for special momentum configurations. However, since they are central to the CHY scattering formulas, it is

desirable to find out as much about them as possible. In this article we will discuss how σ_α transforms under momentum permutations. A set of *symmetry relations* is derived in the next section, and verified against the known solutions of $n = 4$ and $n = 5$. These relations will also be checked against the exact solutions in certain momentum configurations. For momentum permutations which alter the special momentum configurations, new exact solutions can be obtained for the altered configurations from these symmetry relations.

Let A_p $(0 \le p \le (n-3)!)$ be the coefficients of the polynomial equation for a single σ_α. Symmetry relations for σ_α lead to symmetry relations for A_p which will be worked out in Sec. III. These relations are checked using the known coefficients for $n = 4$, $n = 5$, and $n = 6$. In Sec. IV, we discuss the amount of constraints put on A_p just by their symmetry relations. The cases for $n = 4$ and $n = 5$ are worked out in detail to illustrate the general discussion. Up to an overall normalization, the symmetry relations determine all the four parameters controlling the $n = 4$ equation, and 36 of the 45 parameters involved in the $n = 5$ single-particle polynomial equation.

II. PERMUTATION SYMMETRY

Let us use Möbius invariance to fix $\sigma_1 = 0,\ \sigma_2 = 1$, and $\sigma_n = \infty$. Then the scattering equations (1) for σ_α $(3 \le \alpha \le n-1)$ are

$$0 = k_1\cdot k_2 + \frac{k_1\cdot k_3}{\sigma_3} + \frac{k_1\cdot k_4}{\sigma_4} + \cdots + \frac{k_1\cdot k_{n-1}}{\sigma_{n-1}} \tag{2}$$

$$0 = k_2\cdot k_1 + \frac{k_2\cdot k_3}{1-\sigma_3} + \frac{k_2\cdot k_4}{1-\sigma_4} + \cdots + \frac{k_2\cdot k_{n-1}}{1-\sigma_{n-1}} \tag{3}$$

$$0 = \frac{k_3\cdot k_1}{\sigma_3} + \frac{k_3\cdot k_2}{\sigma_3-1} + \frac{k_3\cdot k_4}{\sigma_3-\sigma_4} + \cdots + \frac{k_3\cdot k_{n-1}}{\sigma_3-\sigma_{n-1}} \tag{4}$$

$$0 = \frac{k_4\cdot k_1}{\sigma_4} + \frac{k_4\cdot k_2}{\sigma_4-1} + \frac{k_4\cdot k_3}{\sigma_4-\sigma_3} + \cdots + \frac{k_4\cdot k_{n-1}}{\sigma_4-\sigma_{n-1}} \tag{5}$$

$$\cdots\cdots$$

$$0 = \frac{k_{n-1}\cdot k_1}{\sigma_{n-1}} + \frac{k_{n-1}\cdot k_2}{\sigma_{n-1}-1} + \frac{k_{n-1}\cdot k_3}{\sigma_{n-1}-\sigma_3} + \cdots + \frac{k_{n-1}\cdot k_{n-2}}{\sigma_{n-1}-\sigma_{n-2}} \tag{6}$$

The solutions σ_α depend on $k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n$, but we will skip the arguments and write $\sigma_\alpha(k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_n)$ simply as σ_α. If s is a permutation of n objects, then $\sigma_\alpha(k_{s(1)}, k_{s(2)}, \cdots, k_{s(n)})$ will be written as $\sigma_\alpha(s)$. In particular, if $s = (jk)$ is a transposition, then we will also write $\sigma_\alpha(s)$ as $\sigma_\alpha(jk)$, rather than the more cumbersome notation $\sigma_\alpha((jk))$.

A. Symmetry relations

The purpose of this subsection is to obtain the following relations between $\sigma_\alpha(jk)$ and σ_β $(3 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1)$:

$$\sigma_\alpha(12) = 1 - \sigma_\alpha \tag{7}$$

$$\sigma_\alpha(2\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} \tag{8}$$

$$\sigma_\alpha(2\beta) = \frac{\sigma_\alpha}{\sigma_\beta}, \quad (\beta \neq \alpha), \tag{9}$$

as well as

$$\sigma_\alpha(1\alpha) = \frac{\sigma_\alpha}{\sigma_\alpha - 1} \tag{10}$$

$$\sigma_\alpha(1\beta) = \frac{\sigma_\beta - \sigma_\alpha}{\sigma_\beta - 1}, \quad (\beta \neq \alpha) \tag{11}$$

$$\sigma_\alpha(\alpha\beta) = \sigma_\beta, \quad (\beta \neq \alpha) \tag{12}$$

$$\sigma_\alpha(\beta\gamma) = \sigma_\alpha, \quad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma \text{ different}), \tag{13}$$

and

$$\sigma_\alpha(1n) = \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} \tag{14}$$

$$\sigma_\alpha(2n) = \frac{\sigma_\alpha}{\sigma_\alpha - 1} \tag{15}$$

$$\sigma_\alpha(\alpha n) = 1 - \sigma_\alpha \tag{16}$$

$$\sigma_\alpha(\beta n) = \frac{\sigma_\alpha(1 - \sigma_\beta)}{\sigma_\alpha - \sigma_\beta}, \quad (\beta \neq \alpha). \tag{17}$$

To prove these results, let us start from (3). Interchange k_2 with k_1 and compare the resulting equation with (2), we get (7). Next, exchange k_3 with k_1 in (2) and compare the result with (4), we get (10) and (11) for $\alpha = 3$. The proof for $\alpha > 3$ is identical. Similarly, exchange k_3 with k_2 in (3) and compare the result with (4), we get (8) and (9) for $\alpha = 3$. Similar proof works for $\alpha > 3$ as well. Now interchange k_4 with k_3 in (4), compare the result with (5), and generalize the result to other α, β, γ, we get (12) and (13).

The proof for relations involving k_n is a little more complicated, as k_n does not appear in the scattering equations above. We must use momentum conservation to introduce k_n, and then go through procedures similar to those adopted above. For example, if we replace k_1 by $-\sum_{i=2}^{n} k_i$ in (3), then it becomes

$$0 = k_2.k_n + \sum_{\beta=3}^{n-1} k_2 \cdot k_\beta \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 - \sigma_\beta}\right) = k_2 \cdot k_n + \sum_{\beta=3}^{n-1} k_2 \cdot k_\beta \frac{\sigma_\beta}{\sigma_\beta - 1}. \tag{18}$$

Now interchange k_n with k_1 and compare the result with (3), we get (14). Similarly, replace k_2 in (2) by momentum conservation to get

$$0 = k_1 \cdot k_n + \sum_{\alpha=3}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha}\right). \tag{19}$$

Now interchange k_n with k_2 and compare the result with (2), we get (15). Finally, fix a $\alpha \geq 3$, and replace k_α in (2) using momentum conservation, then we get

$$0 = \frac{k_1 \cdot k_n}{\sigma_\alpha} + \sum_{j=2, j\neq\alpha}^{n-1} k_1 \cdot k_j \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha} - \frac{1}{\sigma_j}\right), \tag{20}$$

which can be written as

$$0 = k_1 \cdot k_n + \sum_{j=2, j\neq\alpha}^{n-1} k_1 \cdot k_j \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_\alpha}{\sigma_j}\right). \tag{21}$$

Exchange k_n with k_β and compare with (2). If we set $j = 2$, we get (16). If we set $j = \beta \neq \alpha$, then we get (17) with α and β reversed. This completes the proof of the symmetry relations (7) to (13).

Here are some supplemental remarks about the symmetry equations.

1. The symmetry relations are obtained by comparing equations that σ_α satisfy, not the solutions themselves. As a result, the σ_α appearing on the right of these symmetry relations may be a different solution than the σ_α appearing on the left.

2. Since the permutation relation $(ij) = (ik)(kj)(ik)$ is true for any i, j, k, the symmetry relations obtained above are not all independent. For momenta not involving k_n, we can derive (10) to (13) from (7) to (9). For relations involving k_n, another relation (14) is needed to obtain everything else. Details are given in Appendix A.

3. It follows from (7) and (16), (15) and (10), (14) and (8) that

$$\sigma_\alpha = \sigma_\alpha((12)(\alpha n)) = \sigma_\alpha((1n)(2\alpha)) = \sigma_\alpha((2n)(1\alpha)) \tag{22}$$

for every $3 \leq \alpha \leq n-1$. Since $(12)(\alpha n)$, $(1n)(2\alpha)$, $(2n)(1\alpha)$, together with the identity permutation, form the Klein group $Z_2 \times Z_2$, we shall refer to this identity as the *Klein-group identity*.

4. If we know a single σ_α, then we can compute every other σ_β from (12), so the hard work is to find the solutions for a single α.

5. Using momentum conservation, k_n can be eliminated so σ_α may be considered as a function of $k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_{n-1}$. In that form, equations (14) to (17) will not be useful, so that the only independent relations needed to be considered are (7), (8), and (9).

6. Equation (9) differs from the other two fundamental symmetry relations in that two different σ's appear on the right hand side. However, using (12), we can get rid of σ_β. Using also (8), equation (9) can be written in the form

$$\sigma_\alpha(2\alpha)\sigma_\alpha(2\beta)\sigma_\alpha(\alpha\beta) = 1. \tag{23}$$

7. With that, it is amusing to note that the three relations (7), (8), (23) can be written as linear, quadratic, and cubic relations, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\alpha + \sigma_\alpha(12) &= 1, \\ \sigma_a\sigma_a(2\alpha) &= 1, \\ \sigma_\alpha(2\alpha)\sigma_\alpha(2\beta)\sigma_\alpha(\alpha\beta) &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

B. Direct verification

In this subsection the symmetry relations will be verified directly for $n = 4$ and $n = 5$, where analytic solutions of the scattering equations are available. For four-dimensional spacetime, $n = 6$ solutions are also available [4], but they are lengthy and their verification is not be carried out here.

1. $\boldsymbol{n = 4}$

There is only one nontrivial σ_α, which is σ_3. It depends on the Mandelstam variables [7] $s = k_1\cdot k_2 = k_3\cdot k_4$, $t = k_1\cdot k_3 = k_2\cdot k_4$, and $u = k_1\cdot k_4 = k_2\cdot k_3$. These three variables are subject to the constraint $s+t+u = 0$ because all the particles are massless. The scattering equation obtained from (2) is

$$s + \frac{t}{\sigma_3} = 0, \tag{25}$$

whose solution is $\sigma_3 = -t/s$.

Under momentum permutation (12), $s \leftrightarrow s$ and $t \leftrightarrow u$. Thus $\sigma_3(12) = -u/s = (s + t)/s = 1 - \sigma_3$, verifying (7). Under momentum permutation (23), $u \leftrightarrow u$ and $s \leftrightarrow t$, so $\sigma_3(23) = -s/t = 1/\sigma_3$, verifying (8). Equation (9) is irrelevant for $n = 4$, thus all the independent symmetry relations have been explicitly verified.

2. $\boldsymbol{n = 5}$

σ_3 and σ_4 depend on six scalar products, $s = k_1 \cdot k_2$, $t_1 = k_1 \cdot k_3$, $t_2 = k_1 \cdot k_4$, $u_1 = k_2 \cdot k_3$, $u_2 = k_2 \cdot k_4$, and $v = k_3 \cdot k_4$. These six variables sum up to zero because $k_5^2 = (k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4)^2 = 0$, hence only five of them are independent. In what follows we shall take them to be s, t_1, t_2, u_1, u_2. Scalar products involving k_5 can be obtained by momentum conservation.

After multiplying by the product of denominators, eqs. (2) and (3) become

$$0 = s\sigma_3\sigma_4 + t_1\sigma_4 + t_2\sigma_3, \tag{26}$$

$$0 = s(1-\sigma_3)(1-\sigma_4) + u_1(1-\sigma_4) + u_2(1-\sigma_3). \tag{27}$$

A linear equation is obtained by subtracting the two,

$$(s + t_1 + u_1)\sigma_4 + (s + t_2 + u_2)\sigma_3 = u_1 + u_2, \tag{28}$$

which can be used to eliminate either σ_3 or σ_4. Substituting the result back into (26), we get a quadratic equation determining σ_3 or σ_4:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= s(s + t_2 + u_2)\sigma_3^2 + \left(s(-s + t_1 - t_2 - u_1 - u_2) + t_1u_2 - t_2u_1\right)\sigma_3 - t_1(s + u_1 + u_2) \\ &:= a\sigma_3^2 + b\sigma_3 + c, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= s(s + t_1 + u_1)\sigma_4^2 + \left(s(-s - t_1 + t_2 - u_1 - u_2) - t_1u_2 + t_2u_1\right)\sigma_4 - t_2(s + u_1 + u_2) \\ &:= a'\sigma_4^2 + b'\sigma_4 + c', \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a &= s(s + t_2 + u_2), \\ b &= s(-s + t_1 - t_2 - u_1 - u_2) + t_1u_2 - t_2u_1, \\ c &= -t_1(s + u_1 + u_2), \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a' &= s(s+t_1+u_1), \\
b' &= s(-s-t_1+t_2-u_1-u_2)-t_1u_2+t_2u_1, \\
c' &= -t_2(s+u_1+u_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{31}
$$

The solutions of these quadratic equations are

$$\sigma_3 = (-b \pm \sqrt{b^2-4ac})/2a, \tag{32}$$

$$\sigma_4 = (-b' \pm \sqrt{b'^2-4a'c'})/2a'. \tag{33}$$

We will use σ_{3+} and σ_{3-} to denote solution (32) with the upper and the lower sign, and σ_{4+} and σ_{4-} to denote solution (33) with the upper and the lower sign.

With these explicit solutions, we are now ready to verify the independent symmetry relations (7) to (9). To do so, we need to know how the variables change under the momentum permutation (12), (23), and (24). The result is listed in Table 1. Please remember that $v = k_3{\cdot}k_4 = -(s+t_1+t_2+u_1+u_2)$ is not independent, but its change is also listed in Table 1. Also, the relations obtained from the permutation (34) is not independent either, but it will be convenient to list it as well.

	s	t_1	t_2	u_1	u_2	v
(12)	s	u_1	u_2	t_1	t_2	v
(23)	t_1	s	t_2	u_1	v	u_2
(24)	t_2	t_1	s	v	u_2	u_1
(34)	s	t_2	t_1	u_2	u_1	v

Table 1. Transformation of dot products under momentum permutations

Let us now consider each of the symmetry relations separately.

Eq. (7). Because of the opposite sign in front of the square roots for solutions + and − in (32) and (33), clearly (7) must be interpreted to mean

$$\sigma_{\alpha\pm}(12) = 1 - \sigma_{\alpha\mp}, \qquad (\alpha = 3, 4). \tag{34}$$

In order for that to be true, we must have

$$a(12) = a, \quad b(12) = -(2a+b), \quad b(12)^2 - 4a(12)c(12) = b^2 - 4ac, \tag{35}$$

and similarly with a, b, c replaced by a', b', c'. These equalities are equivalent to

$$a(12) = a, \quad b(12) = -(2a + b), \quad c(12) = a + b + c, \tag{36}$$

and similarly with a, b, c replaced by a', b', c'. Using the explicit expressions in (31), and the (12) row of Table 1, it is easily seen that these identities are true.

Eq. (8). Again, because of the opposite signs in front of the square roots, we must interpret (8) to mean

$$\sigma_{3\pm}(23) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{3\mp}}, \quad \sigma_{4\pm}(23) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{4\mp}}. \tag{37}$$

In order for those to be true, we must have

$$a(23) \ = \ c, \quad b(23) = b, \quad c(23) = a \tag{38}$$

$$a'(24) \ = \ c', \quad b'(24) = b, \quad c'(24) = a'. \tag{39}$$

These relations can be verified explicitly from (31) and Table 1.

Eq. (9). The verification of this is more complicated, because it involves the ratio of two different σ's. (9) seems quite impossible unless the square roots in (32) and (33) are identical. The expressions for $b^2 - 4ac$ and $b'^2 - 4a'c'$ are both rather lengthy, but straight-forward computation shows that they are indeed equal. I shall use the letter d to denote them.

Let us compute σ_{3+}/σ_4. A priori we do not know whether to use σ_{4+} or σ_{4-}, but detailed calculation shows that it is σ_{4-}. In that case,

$$\frac{\sigma_{3+}}{\sigma_{4-}} = \frac{a'(-b + \sqrt{d})}{a(-b' - \sqrt{d})} = \frac{a'(-b + \sqrt{d})(-b' + \sqrt{d})}{a(b'^2 - d)} = \frac{d + bb' - (b' + b)\sqrt{d}}{4ac'}. \tag{40}$$

According to (9), this should be either $\sigma_{3+}(24)$ or $\sigma_{3-}(24)$. Again, detailed calculation shows that it is the latter, namely, $\sigma_{3-}(24) = \left(-b(24) - \sqrt{d(24)}\right)/2a(24)$. In order for that to be true, it is necessary to have $d(24) = d$, which can be verified to be true. Moreover, we need to have

$$-b(24)/a(24) = (d + bb')/2ac', \quad 1/a(24) = (b' + b)/2ac', \tag{41}$$

or equivalently,

$$a(24) = 2ac'/(b + b'), \quad b(24) = -(d + bb')/(b + b'). \tag{42}$$

Explicit substitution shows that these are indeed true, hence (9), in the form $\sigma_{3-}(24) = \sigma_{3+}/\sigma_{4-}$, is verified. Similarly, one can also verify $\sigma_{3+}(24) = \sigma_{3-}/\sigma_{4+}$ and $\sigma_{4\mp}(23) = \sigma_{4\pm}/\sigma_{3\mp}$ to be true.

Equation (9) is the most intriguing of the three independent symmetry relations: it involves two different σ's on the right. As a result, the symmetry constraints must involve parameters for both σ_α and σ_β. Furthermore, they must appear nonlinearly, as in (42).

Clearly, for (42) to be true, a, b, c and a', b', c' must be closely related. Indeed, equation (12) tells us what their relations are. We will verify that directly below.

Eq. (12). This equation says $\sigma_3(34) = \sigma_4,\ \sigma_4(34) = \sigma_3$. From the sign of the square roots, we now expect $\sigma_{3\pm}(34) = \sigma_{4\pm}$, which demands

$$a(34) = a', \quad b(34) = b', \quad c(34) = c'. \tag{43}$$

These relations can also be explicitly verified to be true.

This completes the verification of the symmetry relations for $n = 5$.

C. Special configurations

For certain special momentum configurations, exact solutions for σ_α can be obtained for any n. We discuss some of these found in the literature [5, 6] in this subsection, to show that they either obey the symmetry relations (7), (8), and (9), or these relations can be used to produce exact solutions for permuted momentum configurations. In this connection please recall that the σ's that appear on the right of (7) to (9) may be a different solution than what appears on the left.

1.

Consider the special configuration

$$\begin{aligned} k_2{\cdot}k_\alpha &= k_\alpha{\cdot}k_\beta = \mu, \quad (3 \le \alpha \ne \beta \le n-1), \\ k_1{\cdot}k_2 &= k_1{\cdot}k_\alpha = \nu, \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where μ is arbitrary and ν is chosen so that $k_n^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i)^2 = 0$, namely, $\nu = -(n-3)\mu/2$. Dolan and Goddard [5] showed that the solutions of the scattering equations are

$$\sigma_2 = 1, \quad \sigma_\alpha = \omega_\alpha, \tag{45}$$

where ω_α are $(n-2)$th roots of unity, different for different α, and none of them equal to unity. The $(n-3)!$ possibilities of arranging such distinct ω_α's constitute the $(n-3)!$ solutions of the scattering equations.

Symmetry relation (8) is obeyed because ω_α^{-1} is another $(n-2)$th root of unity, so it is just another solution of σ_α. Symmetry relation (9) is also obeyed because $\sigma_\alpha/\sigma_\beta$ is another $(n-2)$th root, not equal to unity if $\beta \neq \alpha$. Note that neither of the momentum permutations (2α) and (2β) changes the momentum configurations in (44).

With momentum permutation (12), the configuration (44) changes into the configuration

$$\begin{aligned} k_1{\cdot}k_\alpha &= k_\alpha{\cdot}k_\beta = \mu, \qquad (3 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1), \\ k_1{\cdot}k_2 &= k_2{\cdot}k_\alpha = \nu, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

whose solutions, according to (7), are

$$\sigma_2 = 1, \quad \sigma_\alpha = 1 - \omega_\alpha. \tag{47}$$

This is a new solution for the new configuration (46).

2.

A more general configuration is considered by Kalousios [6], in which $k_2{\cdot}k_\alpha$ is different from $k_\alpha{\cdot}k_\beta$:

$$k_1{\cdot}k_\alpha = (1+\nu)/2, \quad k_2{\cdot}k_\alpha = (1+\mu)/2, \quad k_\alpha{\cdot}k_\beta = 1, \qquad (3 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1). \tag{48}$$

$k_1{\cdot}k_2$ is determined by the requirement of $k_n^2 = 0$ to be

$$k_1{\cdot}k_2 = -(n-3)(n+\mu+\nu-2)/2. \tag{49}$$

According to Kalousios, the solution for $\sigma'_\alpha = 2\sigma_\alpha - 1$ is given by the $(n-3)$ roots of the Jacobi polynomial $P_{n-3}^{(\mu,\nu)}(\sigma'_\alpha)$. In the σ' space, these roots lie in the interval $[-1, 1]$, and they are known to reverse their sign when μ and ν are interchanged. This property agrees

with (7), which when expressed in the σ' variable, says $\sigma'_\alpha(12) = -\sigma'_\alpha$. Furthermore, in this configuration, the momentum permutation (12) is equivalent to the interchange of μ and ν.

The momentum configuration (48) is *not* invariant under momentum permutation (2α). Thus this permutation produces a new momentum configuration, and new solutions by using (8) and (9).

III. POLYNOMIAL EQUATIONS

The scattering equations (1) are equivalent to the polynomial equations [5] [8]

$$0 = \sum_{a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_m \in A'} s_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m n} \sigma_{a_1} \sigma_{a_2} \cdots \sigma_{a_m} := h_m, \quad (1 \leq m \leq n-3), \tag{50}$$

where $A' = \{2, 3, 4, \cdots, n-1\}$, $\sigma_2 = 1$, and $s_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m n} = \frac{1}{2}(k_{a_1} + k_{a_2} + \cdots + k_{a_m} + k_n)^2$. The sum is taken over all distinct subsets of A' with m elements. Using momentum conservation, we can get rid of k_n in favor of k_1 to write $s_{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m n}$ as $\frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_{\bar{a}_1} + \cdots + k_{\bar{a}_{n-2-m}})^2$, where $\bar{a}_i$ are the complementary indices of a_i in the set A'.

The advantage of these equations is that each σ_i enters at most linearly. That makes it easier to eliminate all other variables to obtain a polynomial equation for a single variable. A single-variable polynomial can be easily obtained directly from the scattering equations for $n = 4$ and $n = 5$, but using these polynomial equations, a sixth order single-variable polynomial is also derived for $n = 6$ In Ref. [5].

Since there are $(n-3)!$ solutions, it is natural to assume such single-variable polynomials to be of degree $(n-3)!$. Accordingly, let us write them in the form

$$0 = \sum_{p=0}^{\nu} A_p^{(\alpha)} \sigma_\alpha^p, \tag{51}$$

where $A_p^{(\alpha)}$ is a function of $k_1, \cdots, k_{n-1}$, and $\nu = (n-3)!$.

A. Symmetry of $A_p^{(\alpha)}$

Under a permutation of the momenta k_i, σ_α transforms according to the symmetry relations (7), (8), (10), and (13). They induce corresponding relations between the polynomial coefficients $A_p^{(\alpha)}$ which we will work out in this subsection. The other symmetry relations

involves another variable σ_β so they will not be immediately useful in determining the symmetry relations of $A_p^{(\alpha)}$.

Under $\sigma \to 1-\sigma$, (51) changes into $0 = \sum_{p=0}^{\nu} \tilde{A}_p \sigma^p$, with

$$\begin{aligned}(-)^{p-\nu}\tilde{A}_p &= \sum_{q=p}^{\nu} \frac{q!}{p!(q-p)!} A_q \\ &= A_p + (p+1)A_{p+1} + \frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2!}A_{p+2} + \cdots + \frac{(p+1)(p+2)\cdots\nu}{(\nu-p)!}A_\nu, \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where an overall constant $(-)^\nu$ has been inserted to make $\tilde{A}_\nu = A_\nu$.

Under $\sigma \to 1/\sigma$, (51) changes into $0 = \sum_{p=0}^{\nu} \bar{A}_p \sigma^p$, with

$$\hat{A}_p = A_{\nu-p}. \tag{53}$$

Under $\sigma \to \sigma/(\sigma-1)$, (51) changes into $0 = \sum_{p=0}^{\nu} \overline{A}_p \sigma^p$, with

$$\begin{aligned}(-)^{\nu-p}\bar{A}_p &= \sum_{q=0}^{p} \frac{(\nu-p+q)!}{q!(\nu-p)!} A_{p-q} \\ &= A_p + (\nu+1-p)A_{p-1} + \frac{(\nu+1-p)(\nu+2-p)}{2!}A_{p-2} + \cdots \\ &\quad + \frac{(\nu+1-p)(\nu+2-p)\cdots\nu}{p!}A_0. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Therefore (7), (8), and (10) translate into the relations

$$A_p^{(\alpha)}(12) = \tilde{A}_p^{(\alpha)}, \quad A_p^{(\alpha)}(2\alpha) = \hat{A}_p^{(\alpha)}, \quad A_p^{(\alpha)}(1\alpha) = \bar{A}_p^{(\alpha)}. \tag{55}$$

The third one can be derived from the first two so it will be ignored from now on. In addition, (12) and (13) imply

$$A_p^{(\alpha)}(\beta\gamma) = A_p^{(\alpha)}, \tag{56}$$

$$A_p^{(\alpha)}(\alpha\beta) = A_p^{(\beta)}. \tag{57}$$

These relations will be verified directly for $n = 4, 5, 6$.

B. Direct verification for $n = 4, 5, 6$

1. $n = 4$

The single-variable linear polynomial is given in (25), with $A_1 = s$ and $A_0 = t$. Thus

$$A_1(12) = s = A_1, \quad A_0(12) = u = -(s+t) = -(A_1 + A_0), \tag{58}$$

which agrees with (55).

2. $n = 5$

Equation (55) requires

$$A_2(12) = A_2, \quad A_1(12) = -(A_1 + 2A_2), \quad A_0(12) = A_0 + A_1 + A_2. \tag{59}$$

In the notation of (29), $A_2 = a, A_1 = b, A_0 = c$, so this relation is simply (36), which has been verified. Similarly we can verify it for σ_4. It also requires

$$A_2(23) = A_0, \quad A_1(23) = A_1, \quad A_0(23) = A_2, \tag{60}$$

which is just (38) and that has also been verified. Similarly, one can verify the identities for σ_4 as well.

3. $n = 6$

Single-variable polynomial equations have been obtained for $n = 6$ [4, 5]. Following the recipe given in eq. (3.9) of Ref. [5], with $x = \sigma_3$ and $y = \sigma_4$ in that equation, a sixth degree polynomial for σ_5 can be obtained. Its A_0 and A_6 coefficients are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= (k_4{\cdot}k_5 + k_3{\cdot}k_5 + k_4{\cdot}k_3 + k_1{\cdot}k_5 + k_1{\cdot}k_4 + k_1{\cdot}k_3)^2(k_4{\cdot}k_5 + k_1{\cdot}k_5 + k_1{\cdot}k_4) \times \\ &\quad (k_1{\cdot}k_5)^2(k_3{\cdot}k_5 + k_1{\cdot}k_5 + k_1{\cdot}k_3), \\ A_6 &= (k_4{\cdot}k_3 + k_4{\cdot}k_2 + k_2{\cdot}k_3 + k_1{\cdot}k_4 + k_1{\cdot}k_3 + k_1{\cdot}k_2)^2(k_4{\cdot}k_2 + k_1{\cdot}k_4 + k_1{\cdot}k_2) \times \\ &\quad (k_1{\cdot}k_2)^2(k_2{\cdot}k_3 + k_1{\cdot}k_3 + k_1{\cdot}k_2), \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

and the other coefficients are much too long to write down. According to (55), we should have $A_6(12) = A_6$, and $A_6(25) = A_0$, which can be seen from (61) to be true.

IV. SYMMETRY CONSTRAINTS

We found the symmetry relations for the coefficients $A_p^{(\alpha)}$ of the single-variable polynomials in the last section. In this section we investigate the inverse, and ask to what extent the symmetry relations determine the coefficients $A_p^{(\alpha)}$, and hence the single-variable equations.

Note that the symmetry relations (55) for $A_p^{(\alpha)}$, derived from (7) and (8), are weaker than the symmetry relations for σ_α, as equation (9) was not used. Since (9) involves two σ's, it is

difficult to translate it into equations for $A_p^{(\alpha)}$. In the special case $n = 5$, we do know what it is. It is given by (42), which is nonlinear and very complicated, but we do not know how to generalize that to a larger n. As a result, the symmetry relations for $A_p^{(\alpha)}$ are certainly 'incomplete', so we do not expect it to be able to yield the complete expressions for $A_p^{(\alpha)}$, save for the case $n = 4$ where (9) is irrelevant. Still, we would like to see how far they can take us.

More precisely, pretend that we do not know about the scattering equations, either in the original form (1), or in its polynomial form (50), but we assume that we do know the following. Each σ_α satisfies a $\nu = (n-3)!$th degree polynomial equation, whose coefficients $A_p^{(\alpha)}$ are homogeneous polynomials of the scalar products $k_i \cdot k_j$ $(1 \le i, j \le n-1)$, also of degree ν. Moreover, $A_p^{(\alpha)}$ satisfy the symmetry relations (55) and (56). The task is to find out to what extent these relations determine $A_p^{(\alpha)}$.

For most of this section we will be discussing a single α, so this script will be dropped. To be definite, we will take $\alpha = 3$, though the treatment is identical for the other α's.

The symmetry equations under permutation (12) are

$$\begin{aligned}
+A_\nu(12) &= A_\nu, \\
-A_{\nu-1}(12) &= A_{\nu-1} + \nu A_\nu, \\
+A_{\nu-2}(12) &= A_{\nu-2} + (\nu-1)A_{\nu-1} + \frac{1}{2!}(\nu-1)\nu A_\nu, \\
-A_{\nu-3}(12) &= A_{\nu-3} + (\nu-2)A_{\nu-2} + \frac{1}{2!}(\nu-2)(\nu-1)A_{\nu-1} + \frac{1}{3!}(\nu-2)(\nu-1)\nu A_\nu, \\
&\cdots \\
(-)^\nu A_0(12) &= A_0 + A_1 + \cdots + A_\nu.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Let $A_p = B_p + C_p$, where B_p is even under permutation (12) and C_p is odd. Then (62) is equivalent to the following set of more transparent equations,

$$\begin{aligned}
C_\nu &= 0, \\
-2B_{\nu-1} &= \nu B_\nu, \\
2C_{\nu-2} &= (\nu-1)C_{\nu-1}, \\
-2B_{\nu-3} &= (\nu-2)B_{\nu-2} + \frac{1}{2!}(\nu-2)(\nu-1)B_{\nu-1} + \frac{1}{3!}(\nu-2)(\nu-1)\nu B_\nu,
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

etc. In other words, $C_\nu = 0$, but $B_\nu, C_{\nu-1}, B_{\nu-2}, C_{\nu-3}, \cdots$ are arbitrary. Moreover, the equations give alternately constraints on B and on the next C.

The remaining symmetry relations state that $A_p(23) = A_{\nu-p}$. To see how they can be exploited, we need to know the explicit forms for B_p and C_p as a function of $k_i \cdot k_j$.

In a scattering process involving n massless particles, the number of of scalar products that can be constructed from momenta $k_1, \cdots, k_{n-1}$ is $(n-1)(n-2)/2$. Since $0 = k_n^2 = (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i)^2$, these scalar products sum up to zero, so there are only $\mu := (n-1)(n-2)/2-1 = n(n-3)/2$ different ones. The functions A_p are νth degree homogeneous polynomials in these μ variables. As such, it contains $\lambda := (\nu+\mu-1)!/(\mu-1)!\nu!$ terms, and requires λ coefficients to fix. Since there are $(\nu+1)$ A_p's, the total number of parameters needed to determine the coefficients A_p of a single-variable polynomial is $\kappa := (\nu+1)\lambda$. For $n = 4, 5, 6$, we have respectively $\nu = 1, 2, 6$, $\mu = 2, 5, 9$, $\lambda = 2, 15, 3003$, and $\kappa = 4, 45, 21021$. One of these parameters is an overall normalization constant which can never be determined. The question we ask is how many of these κ parameters can be determined by the symmetry relations (55), and how to calculate them.

We will describe the general procedure to be followed for any n, then proceed to carry out the explicit calculations for $n = 4$ and $n = 5$. For $n = 4$, up to normalization, all the $\kappa = 4$ parameters can be determined. For $n = 5$, out of the $\kappa = 45$ parameters, 36 can be determined, leaving behind 9 arbitrary parameters.

To discuss the general procedure, it is more convenient to use variables that are either even or odd under the momentum exchange (12). The variables $k_1.k_i+k_2k_i$, for $3 \leq i \leq n-1$, are even under the exchange, the variables $k_1.k_i - k_2 \cdot k_i$ are odd, and all the other scalar products are even. We shall use x_i to denote these odd/even variables. Since B_p is even under momentum permutation (12), it must contain an even number of the odd-variables in every term. Similarly C_p must contain an odd number of the odd-variables in every term.

For $n \geq 5$, ν is even, so $\frac{\nu}{2}$ is an integer. That allows us to start from the most convenient relation, $A_{\frac{\nu}{2}}(23) = A_{\frac{\nu}{2}}$, which is self conjugate. Let x_i become $x_i' = \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} M_{ij} x_j$ under the momentum exchange (23). It is easy to work out what the matrix M is for any n. The relation $A_{\frac{\nu}{2}}(x_i') = A_{\frac{\nu}{2}}(x_i)$ therefore provides λ linear equations to determine the λ coefficients of the x-monomials in $A_{\frac{\nu}{2}}$. These linear equations are homogeneous, so an overall normalization can never be determined. In addition, these equations may not be independent, so the solution may contain arbitrary parameters. In the case $n = 5$, out of 15 parameters, 6 are determined, leaving behind 9 free ones.

Once $A_{\frac{\nu}{2}}$, and hence $B_{\frac{\nu}{2}}$ and $C_{\frac{\nu}{2}}$, are known, (62) can be used to relate it to $A_{\frac{\nu}{2}+1}$ and

$A_{\frac{\nu}{2}-1}$, then the relation $A_{\frac{\nu}{2}+1}(23) = A_{\frac{\nu}{2}-1}$ provides further linear equations to determine more unknown parameters, and so on. Continuing this way, we can find out about all the parameters.

We proceed now to illustrate this general procedure with the specific examples $n = 4$ and $n = 5$.

A. $n = 4$

For $n = 4$, the Mandelstam variables $s = k_1{\cdot}k_2 = k_3{\cdot}k_4,\ t = k_1{\cdot}k_3 = k_2{\cdot}k_4,\ u = k_1\cdot k_4 = k_2{\cdot}k_3$ add up to zero, so there is only one even variable $x_1 = s$, and one odd variable $x_2 = t - u$. The functions $A_1 = p_1x_1 + q_1x_2$ and $A_0 = p_0x_1 + q_0x_2$ are determined by four parameters, p_1, q_1, p_0, q_0. Symmetry relations (63) tell us $q_1 = 0$ and $2p_0 = -p_1$. Under (23) permutation, $x_1 = s \to t = (x_2 - x_1)/2 = x_1'$ and $x_2 = t - u \to s - u = (3x_1 + x_2)/2 = x_2'$. The relation $A_1(23) = A_0$ gives rise to $p_1x_1' = p_1(x_2 - x_1)/2 = p_0x_1 + q_0x_2$, thus $2q_0 = p_1$. Consequently, $A_1 = p_1x_1 = p_1s$ and $A_0 = p_1(-x_1+x_2)/2 = p_1t$. The scattering equation is then completely determined by the symmetry relations to be $A_1\sigma_3 + A_0 = 0 = s\sigma_3 + t$, the same as equation (25).

B. $n = 5$

The single-variable polynomial is of degree 2. Each A_p is quadratic in the 5 Mandelstam variables defined in Sec. IIB, with $\lambda = 15$ terms and hence 15 coefficients for each of $p = 0, 1, 2$. The even/odd variables are $x_1 = s,\ x_2 = t_1 + u_1,\ x_3 = t_2 + u_2,\ x_4 = t_1 - u_1,\ x_5 = t_2 - u_2$, with the first three even and the latter two odd. Together they form a column vector $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)^T$. Let ξ_p be 5×5 symmetric matrices whose elements are the unknown coefficients, so that $A_p = x^T\xi_p x$. Parametrize ξ_1 as follows,

$$\xi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & p_{13} & q_{11} & q_{12} \\ p_{12} & p_{22} & p_{23} & q_{21} & q_{22} \\ p_{13} & p_{23} & p_{33} & q_{31} & q_{32} \\ q_{11} & q_{21} & q_{31} & r_{11} & r_{12} \\ q_{12} & q_{22} & q_{32} & r_{12} & r_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{64}$$

then p_{ij} and r_{ij} contribute to B_1 and q_{ij} contribute to C_1.

From Table 1 we see that under a (23) momentum permutation, $x \to x' = Mx$, with

$$M = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -2 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{65}$$

The relation $A_1(23) = A_1$ is equivalent to $M^T \xi_1 M = \xi_1$. This gives rise to a set of 15 linear equations, whose solution leaves 9 parameters $(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{22}, q_{11}, q_{21}, q_{22}, q_{31}, q_{32}, p_{22})$ free. The rest are given by

$$\begin{aligned} p_{11} &= 2q_{21} - 4q_{31} + 4r_{12} + p_{22} + r_{11}, \\ p_{12} &= p_{22} - 2q_{31} + 2r_{12} + q_{11} - r_{11}, \\ p_{13} &= -q_{31} + 2r_{12} + q_{22} - 2q_{32} + 2r_{22}, \\ p_{23} &= q_{31} - r_{12} + q_{22} - 2q_{32} + 2r_{22}, \\ p_{33} &= -2q_{32} + 3r_{22}, \\ q_{12} &= q_{31} + q_{22}. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Using (62), we get

$$\xi_2 = -\begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & p_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ p_{12} & p_{22} & p_{23} & 0 & 0 \\ p_{13} & p_{23} & p_{33} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_{11} & r_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_{12} & r_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{67}$$

and from $A_0 = A_2(23)$, we can compute

$$\xi_0 = M^T \xi_2 M. \tag{68}$$

Thus, the 45 coefficients a priori need to determine A_2, A_1, A_0 are now reduced to only 9 arbitrary coefficients. The single-variable polynomial equation derived from the scattering equation is given in (29). It corresponds to the special case

$$(r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{22}, q_{11}, q_{21}, q_{22}, q_{31}, q_{32}, p_{22}) = \left(0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0, 0\right). \tag{69}$$

V. SUMMARY

The n-particle tree amplitudes for gauge, gravity, and scalar scatterings are given by the CHY formulas, in terms of external momenta k_i and the solutions $\sigma_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ of their scattering equation. The scattering equation is Möbius invariant, so three of the σ_i's can be fixed, to be $\sigma_1 = 0,\ \sigma_2 = 1$, and $\sigma_n = \infty$ here. Each of the remaining σ_α satisfies a polynomial equation of degree $(n-3)!$, hence analytic solutions can be obtained only for $n = 4$ and $n = 5$. Nevertheless, certain general properties of σ_α can be worked out for all n. We have discussed in this article the momentum dependence of σ_α, specifically, how it changes under a momentum permutation. Since $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_n$ are special, the momentum dependence of σ_α on k_1, k_2, k_n are also special. The result is given in eqs. (7) to (17), and is explicitly verified for $n = 4$ and $n = 5$ where analytic solutions are available. We have also discussed to what extent these symmetry properties determine the solutions σ_α, and how they lead to analogous transformation properties of the coefficiens of the polynomial equations for σ_α.

Knowing the transformation of σ_α, one should be able to work out how the various pieces of the CHY scattering formulas behave under momentum permutations. Such an investigation is underway.

Appendix A: Group property of the symmetry relations

Here are the details how (10) to (13) can be derived from (7) to (9):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_\alpha(1\alpha) &= \sigma_\alpha((12)(2\alpha)(12)) = 1 - \sigma_\alpha((2\alpha)(12)) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha(12)} = \frac{\sigma_\alpha}{\sigma_\alpha - 1} \\
\sigma_\alpha(1\beta) &= \sigma_\alpha((12)(2\beta)(12)) = 1 - \sigma_\alpha((2\beta)(12)) = 1 - \frac{\sigma_\alpha(12)}{\sigma_\beta(12)} = \frac{\sigma_\alpha - \sigma_\beta}{1 - \sigma_\beta} \\
\sigma_\alpha(\alpha\beta) &= \sigma_\alpha((2\alpha)(2\beta)(2\alpha)) = \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha((2\beta)(2\alpha))} = \frac{\sigma_\beta(2\alpha)}{\sigma_\alpha(2\alpha)} = \sigma_\beta \\
\sigma_\alpha(\beta\gamma) &= \sigma_\alpha((2\beta)(2\gamma)(2\beta)) = \frac{\sigma_\alpha((2\gamma)(2\beta))}{\sigma_\beta((2\gamma)(2\beta))} = \frac{\sigma_\alpha(2\beta)}{\sigma_\beta(2\beta)} = \sigma_\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

We need in addition (14) to show (15) to (17):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_\alpha(2n) &= \sigma_\alpha((12)(1n)(12)) = 1 - \sigma_\alpha((1n)(12)) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha(12)} = \frac{\sigma_\alpha}{\sigma_\alpha - 1} \\
\sigma_\alpha(\alpha n) &= \sigma_\alpha((2\alpha)(2n)(2\alpha)) = \frac{1}{\sigma_\alpha((2n)(2\alpha))} = \frac{\sigma_\alpha(2\alpha) - 1}{\sigma_\alpha(2\alpha)} = 1 - \sigma_\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_\alpha(\beta n) = \sigma_\alpha((2\beta)(2n)(2\beta)) = \frac{\sigma_\alpha((2n)(2\beta))}{\sigma_\beta((2n)(2\beta))} = \frac{\sigma_\alpha(2\beta)/(\sigma_\alpha(2\beta)-1)}{\sigma_\beta(2\beta)/(\sigma_\beta(2\beta)-1)}$$
$$= \frac{\sigma_\alpha/(\sigma_\alpha-\sigma_\beta)}{1/(1-\sigma_\beta)} = \frac{\sigma_\alpha(1-\sigma_\beta)}{\sigma_\alpha-\sigma_\beta}.$$

---

[1] S.J. Parke, T.R. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 2459.

[2] F. Cachazo, S. He, E.Y. Yuan, arXiv: 1306.6575, 1307.2199, 1309.0885, 1409.8256.

[3] L. Dolan, P. Goddard, arXiv:1311.5200.

[4] S. Weinzierl, arXiv:1402.2516.

[5] L. Dolan, P. Goddard, arXiv:1402.7374.

[6] C. Kalousios, arXiv:1312.7743.

[7] In order not to carry a factor of 2 around, in this paper we define all the (generalized) Mandelstam variables with an additional factor of 1/2, to be $(\sum k_i)^2/2$.

[8] σ_i here is $1/z_i$ in Ref. [5]. This inverts the role of k_1 and k_n which is why the generalized Mandelstam variables $s_{\ldots}$ here involve a k_n rather than a k_1. We have also defined the Mandelstam variables with an additional factor $\frac{1}{2}$ to avoid carrying the factor 2 everywhere in the dot products.